## EXHIBIT C

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

-----

CHAD PELISHEK,

CONFIDENTIAL

Plaintiff,

VOLUME II

-vs-

Case No. 2:23-CV-1048

CITY OF SHEBOYGAN, et al.,

Defendants.

Examination of CHAD PELISHEK, taken at the instance of the Defendants, under and pursuant to the applicable Rules of Civil Procedure, before

the applicable Rules of Civil Procedure, before SAMANTHA J. SHALLUE, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of

Wisconsin, at MWH Law Group, 735 North Water Street,

Suite 610, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on

September 12, 2024, commencing at 9:08 a.m. and

concluding at 4:59 p.m.

HUDSON COURT REPORTING & VIDEO

(800) 310-1769

- MS. DeMASTER: Objection; form.
- THE WITNESS: I guess to clarify, I
- misspoke. I don't have any information.
- 4 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 5 Q Okay. And there was nothing in the articles
- 6 published in the media that was false about
- 7 you, correct?
- MS. DeMASTER: Objection; form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Which articles?
- 10 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 11 O The Sheboygan Press articles: October 10th,
- October 26th, December 10th, I believe. Any of
- them.
- 14 A As I stated previously and to add some
- 15 clarification, the -- the articles did not
- share the context of the -- of the racial slur,
- and it showed that I -- it insinuated I just
- came into the meeting and issued a racial slur
- unsolicited.
- 20 Q You recall us going through these articles
- during your last deposition, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q I'm going to hand you Deposition Exhibit 19
- which is the Sheboygan Press article from
- October 26th. I'm going to refer you to Page 2

- and have you read the first two paragraphs of
- that article.
- MS. DeMASTER: I'm just going to
- 4 renew my objection as to foundation. I believe
- 5 this article was edited, but subject to that
- 6 you can answer.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 8 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 9 O That article does indicate the context of
- 10 the -- in which you repeated the n-word,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A I believe so.
- 13 Q There's nothing false in those two paragraphs
- about what you said, correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q Okay. I'm going to have you take a look at
- 17 Exhibit 18 which is another article in the
- 18 Sheboygan Press and have you look at this
- 19 paragraph starting with "He did use the
- phrase." Read that for me.
- 21 A Out loud?
- 22 Q No, read it to yourself.
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 Q And Exhibit 18 also talks about your use of the
- phrase, correct?

- 1 A If that's Exhibit 18, yes.
- 2 Q And it says that you said the word not with the
- intent to hurt or harm anyone, but with the
- 4 intent to help others, correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Okay. I'm going to have you look at Page 1 of
- 7 that same article in Exhibit 18, the third
- paragraph starting with the word "Pelishek."
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q And that paragraph makes clear that you said
- 11 the slur while quoting a resident's comment
- from a neighborhood meeting, correct? Correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And that you did so to provide an example --
- 15 you used the offensive word as an example of a
- racist incident brought to your attention and
- 17 asked other department heads how the City could
- help address the issue; is that accurate?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q So once again it explained the context in which
- 21 you used the slur, correct?
- MS. DeMASTER: Objection; form.
- 23 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 24 O Correct?
- 25 A I believe so.

- 1 Q And it didn't contain any false information,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A I believe so.
- 4 O You believe that it did contain false
- 5 information or it did not?
- 6 A That it did not.
- 7 Q Okay. What information do you have to support
- 8 your allegation that Mayor Sorenson asked the
- 9 public to send outraged e-mails to council
- about your purported racism?
- 11 A Well, there was a -- I don't know if these --
- I -- there were comments made at that council
- meeting about people that had contacted
- 14 alderpersons and that alderpersons had said
- that they received communications from the City
- that they should submit concerns that they
- have.
- 18 Q So they got a communication from the City to
- submit concerns that they had, correct?
- 20 A I guess I'm not sure of the definition of
- "concerns."
- 22 Q Do you have any evidence that Mayor Sorenson
- asked citizens to send outraged e-mails about
- 24 you?
- MS. DeMASTER: Objection; form.

- the mayor, Adam Westbrook, and yourself?
- 2 A I don't believe so.
- 3 Q What did you say in response to their comment
- 4 that you would be the main witness in that
- 5 lawsuit?
- 6 A I didn't understand the reason why it would
- 7 have been -- why I would have been the main
- 8 witness, and I may have referenced that I
- 9 needed to seek an attorney.
- 10 Q You don't recall if you said that or not?
- MS. DeMASTER: Objection; misstates
- 12 testimony.
- THE WITNESS: I can't confirm if it
- was at that meeting or the following meeting
- after that.
- 16 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 17 Q Okay. And did the mayor or Adam Westbrook say
- anything else in that meeting?
- 19 A Related to?
- 20 Q Anything. I mean, did they say anything else
- 21 during that meeting?
- 22 A I don't believe so.
- 23 Q Did you say anything else during that meeting?
- 24 A T'm not sure.
- 25 Q As you sit here today, can you recall saying

- 1 anything else during that meeting?
- 2 Α I'm not sure.
- 3 Did you record that meeting? 0
- 4 I did not. Α
- 5 0 You referenced another meeting. When was the
- 6 next meeting that you had with Mr. Westbrook?
- 7 The last meeting was when the Hall report was Α
- 8 released.
- 9 Where did that meeting take place? Q
- 10 Α In my office.
- 11 0 Who was present?
- 12 Α Mayor Sorenson, Adam, and myself.
- 13 0 What was said by the mayor and Adam?
- 14 That the Hall report -- actually, Adam gave me Α
- 15 a copy of the Hall report to read, and when I
- 16 read it I almost lost it because it did not
- 17 reference anything that I had stated in my
- 18 review and it insinuated that I was a racist.
- 19 Q So after they handed you the report and you
- 20 read it, what was said?
- 21 Α I said that I will need to get an attorney and
- 22 that this will be figured out in court at which
- 23 time Mr. Westbrook asked Mr. Sorenson to leave
- 24 the room.
- 25 And did the mayor say anything during that

- 1 meeting?
- 2 A I don't recall.
- 3 Q So as you sit here today you don't recall him
- 4 saying anything?
- 5 MS. DeMASTER: Objection; asked and
- answered.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I don't recall him
- 8 saying anything.
- 9 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 10 Q Okay. So what did Adam say during that
- 11 meeting?
- 12 A He referenced the fact that there was
- discussion in the Hall investigation about the
- demand for money at the -- with the DEI group
- and that that didn't align with the testimony
- that I had previously told him, and he was
- 17 trying to -- he was trying to understand which
- information was correct.
- 19 Q And how did you respond?
- 20 A I think I then responded that it'll have to be
- 21 figured out in court.
- 22 Q Did either of you say anything else during that
- meeting?
- 24 A I don't believe so. I don't know.
- 25 Q Did you record that meeting?

- 1 Α I did not. I just want to clarify that I had 2 hoped that that Hall investigation was going to 3 be released, number one, without names because we were led to believe by Attorney Adams that 5 the names would be redacted, and the names were 6 not redacted, and when I read the report and it 7 said that I uttered a racial slur with no 8 context to that I felt that this -- and read 9 further that it was retaliation against -- it 10 was an investigation into Todd Wolf's things 11 against Emily for retaliation, and there was no 12 exoneration in that report over what I had 13 said. I at that point realized that the stress 14 and panic and anxiety and stuff was so much 15 that I needed to do something major, and it's 16 what led to the FMLA. 17 So this meeting occurred on March 7th of 2023 0 18 according to your notes Bates stamped 19 PELISHEK000316. When did you write down what 20 happened during that meeting? 21 Α Can I see the notes? 22 Maybe. Can you tell me when you wrote down 0
- 23 what happened during this meeting?
- 24 I can't tell you for sure. A
- 25 So did you write it down when you got back to 0

- 1 your office or shortly thereafter?
- 2 A You're not going to let me see the notes to
- 3 refresh my memory?
- 4 Q Well, I don't think you need them to answer
- 5 that question which is why I'm not giving them
- 6 to you.
- 7 A Then I don't think I'm going to answer that
- 8 question.
- 9 Q You don't have a choice.
- MS. DeMASTER: Sorry, just to
- clarify, you're just asking, like, when he
- wrote the notes?
- MS. MURPHY: When he wrote the notes.
- 14 THE WITNESS: The notes are dated.
- 15 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 16 Q March 7, 2023, at 12:00 p.m. is what you -- I
- assume that's when the meeting took place.
- 18 A I would have probably -- at that point I was
- not writing the notes during the day. I was
- writing sticky notes and taking them home and
- 21 doing it in the evening.
- 22 Q Okay. So you would have written this note
- after you got home that night?
- 24 A Probably.
- 25 Q Okay. I'm handing you your notes and I'm

- 1 private investigation done by an outside law
- 2 firm that was hired by the common council to
- investigate conduct of office over what a
- 4 newspaper article that's getting her
- 5 information secondhand is writing?
- 6 Q So now -- I'm going to withdraw that question.
- 7 (Exhibit No. 24 was marked.)
- 8 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 9 Q Mr. Pelishek, you have been handed Exhibit 24
- which is a copy of the Hall report dated
- 11 February 6 of 2023. I would like you to take a
- review of this report and tell me what
- information in here is factually inaccurate
- 14 about you.
- 15 A The statement on Page 4 that says "However, in
- the course of the investigation, no attendee of
- the August 22, 2022, meeting, including
- Mr. Pelishek himself, supported Wolf's repeated
- 19 assertion that Ms. Rendall-Araujo demanded that
- Mr. Pelishek repeat the racial slur. Evidence
- 21 suggests that Ms. Rendall-Araujo told Wolf on
- August 24, 2022, that she went to her friends
- group for advice on how to address the issue of
- the director's use of the racial slur.
- 25 Evidence also suggests that Wolf continued to

- 1 publicly attribute untoward motives toward" --
- oh, not that. Stopping before that sentence.
- 3 Q Okay. So you believe that the first two
- 4 sentences of the third paragraph on Page 4 of
- 5 Ms. Hall's report refer to you and are
- 6 inaccurate?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q Okay. Anything else?
- 9 A So that it's not -- the other one is not
- directly related to me, although it says "No
- 11 attendee of the August 5, 2022, meeting except
- Wolf provided evidence supporting Wolf's claim
- that the women demanded \$70,000 from the City."
- 14 Q Anything else? Oh, and that's at Page 5?
- 15 A Page 5 of 6.
- 16 Q Page 5 of 6, the fourth paragraph, correct?
- 17 I'm counting the blocked one as a paragraph.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. Anything else?
- 20 A It's the insinuation on Page -- where it uses
- the word "utterance."
- 22 Q What page are we talking about?
- 23 A Page 3 of 6, the last sentence of the third
- paragraph.
- 25 O Read the sentence to me.

- 1 A "Evidence suggests that Ms. Rendall-Araujo
- 2 reported only to a group of five people on
- August 22, 2022, but did not talk with the
- 4 media at any time of the matter of Pelishek
- 5 uttering a racial slur on August 22 of 2022."
- 6 Q Okay. Is that -- have you read the entire
- 7 report, and is that everything that you believe
- 8 includes information that --
- 9 A There's another reference to that up in the
- first paragraph on that same page. "Among
- other topics, he talked extensively about
- 12 personnel matters and discipline regarding a
- director who disclosed Pelishek's utterance of
- 14 a racial slur in the media."
- 15 Q Can you just show me where you're referring to?
- 16 A (Witness indicates.)
- 17 Q Okay. Is that everything that you found in the
- report that you take issue with?
- 19 A I believe so.
- 20 O Okay. So let's start with the first bullet on
- Page 3, the one you just talked about, "Among
- other topics, he talked extensively about
- personnel matters." "He" is Mr. Wolf in that
- sentence, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Okay. So that sentence isn't -- you know,
- 2 refers to conduct that Mr. Wolf engaged in, not
- 3 that you engaged in, correct?
- 4 A I believe so.
- 5 Q And Mr. Wolf did talk about discipline
- 6 regarding a director who had disclosed your
- 7 utterance of a racial slur, didn't he? I mean,
- 8 the statement's true.
- 9 MS. DeMASTER: Objection as to form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I guess that's how you
- interpret it, but I'm not going to agree with
- 12 that.
- 13 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 14 Q Well, you've literally testified that one of
- the allegations of harassment is that Mr. Wolf
- was terminated because he was trying to pursue
- discipline against Emily, right? I mean, you
- 18 literally have said that earlier today, and
- that's what this sentence says, correct?
- MS. DeMASTER: I'm just going to
- object as to form.
- MS. MURPHY: You can answer.
- MS. DeMASTER: If you know you can
- answer.
- THE WITNESS: I believe so.

- 1 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 2 Q Okay. So you are not aware of anything as you
- 3 sit here today that makes that sentence untrue,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A I don't think so.
- 6 Q I don't understand what your "I don't think so"
- 7 means. Are you aware of any evidence that
- 8 makes that sentence incorrect? Yes or no.
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Okay. Next one. Further down on Page 3 of the
- 11 Hall report you said that the last sentence of
- 12 Paragraph 3 which says "Evidence suggests that
- Ms. Rendall-Araujo reported only to a group of
- five friends on August 22, 2022, but did not
- talk with the media at any time on the matter
- of Pelishek uttering a racial slur on
- 17 August 22, 2022." That sentence relates to
- Ms. Rendall-Araujo, not you, correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q Okay. So there's no false statement about you
- in that sentence, is there?
- MS. DeMASTER: Objection. That
- misstates testimony. You can answer.
- MS. MURPHY: You can answer the
- 25 question.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Can you please repeat
- 2 it?
- 3 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 4 Q Is there any false statement about you
- 5 contained in that sentence?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Let's turn to Page 4 of Ms. Hall's report. The
- 8 next sentence you identified is in Paragraph 3
- 9 that says "However, in the course of the
- investigation no attendee of the August 22,
- 11 2022, meeting, including Mr. Pelishek himself,
- supported Wolf's repeated assertion that
- Ms. Rendall-Araujo demanded" -- and that's in
- quotes -- "that Mr. Pelishek repeat the racial
- 15 slur." You recorded your interview with
- Ms. Hall, didn't you?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q So that -- and you recorded the whole thing,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q So that recording is going to document what you
- said during your interview with Ms. Hall,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A I believe so.
- 25 Q And you didn't do anything to alter that

- 1 recording, correct?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Okay. You also indicated the next sentence
- 4 which is "Evidence suggests that
- 5 Ms. Rendall-Araujo told Wolf on August 24,
- 6 2022, that she went to her friends group for
- 7 advice on how to address the issue of the
- 8 director's use of a racial slur." What does
- 9 that sentence have to do with you?
- 10 A I think it just has to do with the fact that my
- 11 name is in there.
- 12 Q It's not. It says "the director's use of a
- racial slur." It doesn't say your name.
- 14 A Well, it insinuates that because that was the
- narrative that was in the public.
- 16 Q Okay. Is that the entire reason you identified
- that as a sentence that related to you?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O And you were not there during the meeting that
- Emily had with Mr. Wolf, correct?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q And you have no information to indicate that
- that sentence is false, do you?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Okay. I think the last bullet you identified

- is on Page 5 of 6 where you say --
- MS. DeMASTER: Just to clarify,
- sorry, you were talking about the sentence
- 4 starting with "Evidence"?
- 5 MS. MURPHY: It's the very next
- 6 sentence in that paragraph.
- 7 MS. DeMASTER: Okay. Sorry.
- 8 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 9 Q I think the last sentence that you identified
- that you took issue with in this report is on
- Page 5 of 6 which said "No attendee of the
- October 5, 2022, meeting except Wolf provided
- evidence supporting Wolf's claim that the women
- demanded \$70,000 from the City." Did I read
- that accurately?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 O And, again, you recorded your interview with
- 18 Attorney Hall, so that --
- 19 A And I clearly told her at that meeting, just
- like I told you here, that I -- that they did
- 21 demand money.
- 22 Q And the audio recording will be the evidence of
- what you said during your interview with
- Ms. Hall, correct?
- 25 A I guess.

- 1 support your speculation that you would be
- fired upon return from your FMLA leave?
- MS. DeMASTER: Objection as to form.
- 4 You can answer.
- THE WITNESS: I do not.
- 6 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 7 Q Okay. In Paragraph 143 of your amended
- 8 complaint you allege based on information and
- 9 belief that while you were on FMLA leave
- 10 defendants stated that you were suspected of
- 11 misconduct and implied that you were suspended
- 12 rather than on leave and you believed that you
- would be publicly fired when you returned from
- 14 FMLA leave. I'm going to show you that,
- Paragraph 143. Did any defendant make that
- statement to you?
- 17 A Not directly to me.
- 18 Q What information do you have that any defendant
- 19 did make that statement?
- 20 A There was information that I was on leave
- 21 because I embezzled money from the City, and it
- came from a city representative.
- 23 Q Who gave you that information?
- 24 A An outside person.
- 25 Q Provide me with the name of that person,

- 1 please.
- 2 A Aaron Guenther through my legal counsel.
- 3 Q And where did Mr. Guenther obtain this
- 4 information?
- 5 A I can't --
- MS. DeMASTER: Objection as to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that
- 8 one.
- 9 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 10 Q So you don't have any information to support
- 11 your allegation in Paragraph 143 based on your
- own personal knowledge, correct?
- MS. DeMASTER: Objection --
- 14 THE WITNESS: Well, Aaron Guenther --
- 15 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 16 Q Do you have any personal knowledge to support
- your allegation in Paragraph 143 of your
- amended complaint?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Okay. Did Aaron Guenther tell you directly
- that you were on leave because you embezzled
- money from the City?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q So then why did you testify moments ago that
- 25 that information came from Mr. Guenther through

- 1 Q That was told after the August 22nd meeting,
- wasn't it?
- 3 A I'm not sure about that. Do you have any --
- 4 Q The August 22nd, 2022, agenda does not indicate
- 5 that conversations made during -- or made
- 6 during that meeting are confidential, does it?
- 7 A I don't know. Can I see the information?
- 8 Q I just need you to look just at that agenda.
- 9 A I guess it doesn't use those exact words.
- 10 Q So it doesn't indicate that anything said
- during that meeting was confidential, correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Okay. We're running out of time, so I'm going
- to move on. I want you to look at your answer
- to Interrogatory No. 14 which asks you to
- identify each and every fact you rely on to
- 17 support your prior restraint claim against the
- 18 City of Sheboygan in relation to your fourth
- 19 cause of action which involved a February 7th,
- 20 2023, e-mail. It is on Page 11 of your
- answers. Take a look at your amended and
- supplemented response and let me know if that
- indicates or includes all of the factual
- information you are relying on to support that
- 25 claim.

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 (Exhibit No. 27 was marked.)
- 3 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 4 Q You've been handed Deposition Exhibit 27 which
- is a copy of the February 7, 2023, e-mail.
- 6 This was sent by Assistant City Attorney
- 7 Majerus and sent only -- and sent to all city
- 8 users, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And it was sent to you in your capacity as
- planning and development director, correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 O And it was sent to you at your official city
- e-mail address; is that right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And this e-mail only directs city employees to
- 17 have no communication with Mr. Wolf or his
- 18 attorney, Ms. DeMaster; is that correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q What personal interest did you have in being
- able to speak with Todd Wolf or his attorney?
- 22 A When?
- 23 Q On February 7th of 2023 or after.
- 24 A What personal interest did I have?
- 25 O Yeah.

- 1 Q "Including Wolf." What city directives,
- policies, and related practices do you claim
- imposed blanket restrictions on your right to
- 4 associate and obtain legal counsel?
- 5 A The February 7th directives.
- 6 Q Is that it, just that single e-mail?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And that e-mail didn't prevent you from
- 9 retaining Ms. DeMaster, did it?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Thank you. I want you to take a look at your
- answer to Interrogatory No. 15 which asks you
- to identify every fact that you rely on to
- support your prior restraint claim against the
- 15 City in relation to your fifth cause of action
- which involves the March 8th e-mail. Take a
- 17 look at your answer.
- MS. MURPHY: We'll go off the record
- for a second.
- 20 (Discussion off the record.)
- 21 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 22 Q Have you had a chance to review your answer?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q The March 8th of 2023 e-mail was sent by former
- 25 HR Director Westbrook to all city users,

- and expressions regarding Wolf, the report or
- 2 allegations related to matters of public
- 3 concern. Explain to me what you rely on to
- 4 support your allegation that the false
- 5 statements ordinance -- that the false
- 6 statements ordinance has anything to do with
- 7 the March 8th, 2023, e-mail that Mr. Westbrook
- 8 sent?
- 9 A Where did you read the --
- 10 Q Paragraph 239.
- 11 A And your question was?
- 12 Q Tell me what information you rely on to support
- a contention that the false statements
- ordinance has anything to do with the March 8th
- 15 e-mail.
- MS. DeMASTER: I'll object as to
- form, but you can answer if you know.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 19 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 20 Q Okay. You can look at Exhibit 25 which is a
- 21 copy of the false statements ordinance, and
- also you have Exhibit 23 which is the
- March 8th, 2023, e-mail. Is there any
- reference in the March 8th, 2023, e-mail to any
- test that the City administered?

- 1 A I don't believe so.
- 2 Q Is there any reference in the March 8th, 2023,
- 3 e-mail to any certification that the City
- 4 provided?
- 5 A I don't believe so.
- 6 Q Is there any reference in the March 8th, 2023,
- 7 e-mail to any appointment made by the City?
- 8 A I'm not going to answer that, again, because I
- 9 don't know what the word "appointment" means.
- 10 Q Well, people are appointed to positions,
- 11 correct, by the City?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Is there any reference to any appointment to
- any position by the City in that March 8th,
- 15 2023, e-mail?
- 16 A I don't believe so.
- 17 Q Is there any reference in that e-mail to any
- 18 attempt to commit fraud?
- 19 A Because it states that in that policy?
- 20 O Yep.
- 21 A I don't know. I don't believe so.
- 22 Q Okay. So I want you to look at Paragraph
- 23 241 -- I think part of this might be the answer
- to it -- in your amended complaint which reads
- "The February and March 8 directives burdened

- plaintiff's (and employees') protected speech
- by impliedly threatening them from being
- witnesses in a federal judicial proceeding and
- 4 burdening their speech by ordering that the
- 5 City's lawyers must be present if plaintiff
- 6 made any statements about Wolf's lawsuit
- 7 allegations that included matters of political
- 8 corruption, bribery, and constitutional
- 9 violations." What language in the February 7th
- 10 e-mail do you claim impliedly threatened you
- 11 from being a witness?
- MS. DeMASTER: I'm going to object as
- to form, but subject to that you can answer.
- 14 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 15 O And here's Exhibit 27 which is that e-mail.
- 16 A Can you restate the question?
- 17 O What language in this February 7th e-mail do
- 18 you claim impliedly threatened you from being a
- witness in a federal judicial proceeding?
- 20 A I don't know.
- 21 Q So you can't identify any language in that
- 22 e-mail that impliedly threatened you from being
- a witness in a federal judicial proceeding?
- 24 A Other than the fact that the City is a
- defendant and that it's a federal lawsuit and